Effects of Getbuzzing® on physiological and metabolic responses to exercise
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INTRODUCTION
CHO feedings in the hour before exercise improve exercise performance (Hargreaves et al., 2004). However most studies have used sports drinks of different concentrations (4-18%), prolonged exercise (over 60 min) and highly trained subjects (mainly cyclists). The purpose of this study was to assess the effects of Getbuzzing®, a new, all-natural ingredients carbohydrate bar on physiological and metabolic responses to exercise in non-elite athletes and physically active individuals during exercise lasting approximately 45 min.

METHOD
The study used a sample of ten participants (n=10; RP = rugby players, TR=triathletes, RE=recreational exercisers).

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of participants. Values are mean ± s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Stature (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Body fat (%)</th>
<th>VO_{2max} (ml kg^{-1} min^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>190.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>101.1 ± 8.7</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>48.1 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41.6 ± 8.4</td>
<td>173.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>69.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>12.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>56.8 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>182.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>86.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>21.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>38.2 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each participant completed two testing sessions separated by seven days in a randomised cross-over design. The experimental protocol comprised a submaximal test (4 stages x 4 min) at increasing speeds (11, 12, 13, 14 km h^{-1}) that was followed by a run to fatigue test to determine VO_{2max} (Jones, 2007). Physiological and metabolic measurements (VO_{2}, HR, RPE, HLa, BGlu) were taken at the end of each stage during the submaximal test and upon completion of the run to fatigue test. Post-exercise measurements (HLa, BGlu) were taken 5 min after completion of the run to fatigue test. A schematic of the study protocol is shown in Diagram 1.

RESULTS
One hour after consuming a Getbuzzing® bar, mean VO_{2} at 13 km h^{-1} decreased (37.86 ± 4.6 v 32.14 ± 6.09 ml kg^{-1} min^{-1}, P=0.004), mean RPE at exhaustion lowered (18 ± 1.49 v 16.8 ± 1.66, P=0.001) and mean TTF was 10% longer (see Fig. 1; P=0.01). Mean HLa_{peak} (10.01 ± 3.44 mM v 12.07 ± 3.61, P=0.005) and mean Glu_{peak} (4.87 ± 0.70 mM v 5.64 ± 0.66 mM, P=0.01) were significantly higher with Getbuzzing®.

DISCUSSION
These results agree with those of previous studies that have used carbohydrate meals one hour before exercise (Stevenson et al., 2005). The 10% longer TTF in this study compares favourably with that stated by Kirwan et al. (1998). It appears that Getbuzzing® is effective in improving running economy and endurance capacity in this group of non-elite athletes. Moreover, Getbuzzing® is a healthy, additive free alternative to other commercial supplements even though its relative effectiveness is yet to be investigated.
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Diagram 1. The study protocol showing the submaximal and run to fatigue tests and type and timing of physiological and metabolic measurements.

Figure 1. Effect of Getbuzzing® on time to fatigue (TTF). * significant at P<0.05.
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