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ABSTRACT
This study investigated the adaptations in leg muscle metabolism of swimmers following a six-week, leg-kicking swimming training program. Fifteen male competitive swimmers were randomly assigned to an experimental group (E; n=8) and a control group (C; n=7). E swimmers performed normal leg-kicking training three times per week, whereas C swimmers performed reduced leg-kicking training (20% and 4% of weekly training distance, respectively). Before and after the training program, all swimmers performed a 200 m leg-kicking and a 400 m full-stroke freestyle time trial and a dry-land exercise test during which peak oxygen uptake, oxygen uptake at 60 W and exercise intensity at ventilatory threshold were measured. After training, there were improvements in leg-kicking time in 200 m (s; -6.0 ± 2.0%, p = 0.044), oxygen uptake at 60 Watts (L·min⁻¹; -20.4 ± 3.0%, p = 0.035) and exercise intensity at ventilatory threshold (Watts; +28.0 ± 5.0%, p = 0.023) in E swimmers, whereas time in 400 m and peak oxygen uptake remained unchanged (p > 0.05). There were no changes in any of the measures for C swimmers (P > 0.05). These results suggest that normal leg-kicking swimming training positively affects the conditioning of the legs, but does not improve aerobic power during the dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test or middle-distance, full-stroke, swimming performance.
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INTRODUCTION
Research into metabolic adaptations in physiological measures of swimmers following leg swimming training has been limited. Previous studies have postulated that forward propulsion in freestyle swimming is predominantly accomplished through the arm stroke...
with minimal contribution from the leg kick [1-6]. Similarly, other studies have stated that the leg kick is the most inefficient action of freestyle swimming [7, 8] and its main functions are to stabilise the trunk [9] and keep the body in a streamlined position during swimming to reduce drag [10]. Conversely, in a recent study that used analysis of motion, it was shown that the legs actually improve the propulsive action of the arms thereby enhancing the generated propulsive force of the whole body [11].

Investigations into changes in aerobic power of swimmers following swimming training have examined the specificity of swimming training on aerobic power [12], the changes in aerobic power due to swimming training in untrained female swimmers [13], due to training of reduced volume [14] and due to swimming training of different duration [15]. These studies focussed on the effects of swimming training on aerobic power during full-stroke swimming. Other studies have assessed the effects of arm swimming training on swimming performance and showed that increasing arm power through swimming training improves full-stroke swimming performance [16-19]. However, the effects of leg swimming training on full-stroke freestyle swimming performance have not been investigated.

Aerobic power of swimmers has been previously assessed during swimming and during dry-land leg-kicking exercise. Such studies have suggested that oxygen uptake during legs-only swimming is higher than during arms-only swimming [20] and that peak oxygen uptake is 20% higher during dry-land leg-kicking than during dry-land arm-pulling in competitive swimmers [21, 22]. The higher oxygen uptake responses during legs-only swimming and dry-land leg-kicking exercise compared to arms-only swimming and dry-land arm-pulling exercise are in agreement with previous studies that have investigated arm versus leg exercise in response to arm or leg training [23]. However, the changes in aerobic power during a dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test of swimmers that occur following leg swimming training have not been investigated.

Movement economy has been investigated previously using leg cycling training [23, 24]. Even though these studies provided insight into physiological adaptations that occur in the legs of cyclists and runners due to leg training, they cannot have any direct implications for the training of swimmers. The changes in swimming economy following reduced swimming training were investigated in one study [13]. However, this study investigated the effects of detraining on whole body swimming economy. To date, there have not been any published investigations of the changes in movement economy during a dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test of swimmers due to leg swimming training.

The ventilatory threshold (VT) has been used as a non-invasive marker of the anaerobic threshold [25] in attempts to explain running [26] and cycling performance [27]. Similarly, training studies that have assessed the responses to leg exercise have shown a reduction in the VE/VO2 relationship at given power outputs following leg cycling training [28, 29]. However, none of these studies used leg swimming training or swimmers. It is possible to identify a breakpoint in the VE/VO2 relationship during swimming using increasing speeds in a swimming flume [30], but there have not been any published investigations of the adaptations in the ventilatory threshold due to leg swimming training.

Assessments of aerobic power and movement economy during a dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test, and swimming performance following legs-only swimming training might provide insight into the magnitude of metabolic adaptations that occur in the legs of swimmers following normal leg swimming training. Furthermore, assessments of legs-only and full-stroke swimming performance following normal leg swimming training might indicate the amount of leg-kicking swimming training required to induce improvements in full-stroke freestyle swimming performance. These findings could then assist coaches in the
design of more effective swimming training programmes. Therefore, the purpose of this study was first, to investigate the changes that occur in the aerobic capabilities and movement economy of the legs following normal freestyle leg-kicking swimming training and second, to explore whether such changes bring about improvements in freestyle swimming performance.

METHODS

PARTICIPANTS

This study was approved by the North Bedfordshire Ethics Committee. Fifteen male competitive swimmers (mean ± SD; age: 16.0 ± 5.0 years, stature: 175.0 ± 5.0 cm, body mass: 72.0 ± 8.0 kg), who were randomly assigned to one of two groups: a) an experimental group (E, n = 8) and b) a control group (C, n = 7), provided informed consent and participated in the study. There were no differences in anthropometric characteristics between the experimental and control group (p > 0.05). All participants were competitive swimmers who engaged in training for 1.5 hours at least five times per week for a period of four months preceding the training study. Their competitive experience ranged from four to eight years and they were all members of the same swimming club. The mean ± SD for freestyle swimming performance at 400 m before the training begun was 309 ± 25 s in E swimmers and 313 ± 20 s in C swimmers. Training distance was recorded for a period of two months preceding the study and averaged 20,000 ± 2,500 m per week. The training study took place in the preparatory phase of the swimmers’ annual training cycle (October – November). The amount of legs-only swimming training the swimmers performed before the training programme was recorded for a month prior to the study and averaged 4 ± 2% of the weekly training distance (i.e., 800 ± 400 m per week). However, it is important to emphasise that this type of legs-only swimming training included swimming exercises for all four strokes (depending on the swimmer’s individual stroke) and not just freestyle swimming exercises.

THE FREESTYLE LEG-KICKING SWIMMING TRAINING PROGRAM

The freestyle leg-kicking swimming training program was performed over six weeks and at a frequency of three times per week on alternate days by all swimmers in the experimental group [E]. During these sessions, E swimmers performed normal kicking training (i.e., 20% of the weekly training distance), whereas C swimmers performed standard training. Standard training included approximately 4% of leg-kicking training. The total training volume was equal in both groups. E swimmers performed approximately 4,000 m leg-kicking training per week in all three leg-kicking training sessions (i.e., 20% of 20,000 m). In each leg-kicking training session, it was estimated that E swimmers covered approximately 1333 m using leg-kicking exercises. The leg-kicking exercises were arranged in sets consisting of moderate intensity leg-kicking (to elicit heart rate responses between 130-150 beats·min⁻¹) and moderate to high intensity leg-kicking (150-170 beats·min⁻¹) with some sprint work (>170 beats·min⁻¹) being performed at the end of each leg-kicking session. The training sets included repeated distances of 66.6 m, 133.3 m and 166.6 m. Resting intervals ranged between 15-25 s depending on the distance of the exercise (exercise to rest ratio of 2:1) with 1-minute rest between sets. The swimming training was carried out in a 33.3 m swimming pool. For the leg-kicking training E swimmers swam in a different lane to C swimmers. The freestyle leg-kicking training was performed in the beginning of each assigned training session immediately after the warm-up. Freestyle leg-kicking exercises were performed with fins only, with fins and kickboard, with kickboard only and without kicking aids. The
percentage of leg-kicking work performed was equally divided between kicking variants (i.e., 5% with fins only, 5% with fins and kickboard, 5% with kickboard only and 5% without kicking aids).

THE SWIMMING TIME TRIALS
All swimmers (E and C) performed two time trials before and after the six-week training program. The time trials comprised 200 m freestyle leg-kicking (200legs) and 400 m full-stroke freestyle swimming (400full) and were performed during the same week, two days apart (to minimise any effects of residual fatigue on performance) and in a randomised order (to eliminate any learning effects) before and after the training program. Both time trials were performed at maximum effort and the time taken to cover the set distances was recorded for every swimmer. For the 200legs time trial, swimmers were asked to cover six lengths of the swimming pool using freestyle leg-kicking with a kickboard. For the 400full time trial, swimmers were instructed to swim twelve lengths as fast as possible using standard freestyle swimming. All swimmers performed a familiarisation session with the time trials on two separate occasions a week prior to the actual time trials taking place. Both time trials were performed in the beginning of the training session immediately after the warm up. The swimmers started both time trials by pushing off the pool wall and were allowed to tumble turn in the full-stroke trial. Both time trials were performed in a 33.3 m swimming pool.

THE DRY-LAND LEG-KICKING EXERCISE TEST
The dry-land leg-kicking exercise test involved incremental leg-kicking exercise to exhaustion on a specially designed leg-kicking ergometer. The leg-kicking ergometer comprised a leg-kicking machine that was connected to the resistance unit of an interfaced swim bench to allow assessment of power output during leg-kicking exercise (Plate 1). The operation and calibration of this ergometer have been described previously [31]. All swimmers attended a familiarisation session in the laboratory where they were allowed to exercise on the leg-kicking ergometer for a period of approximately 5 minutes. Swimmers were instructed to simulate the freestyle leg-kicking action by kicking downwards with alternating legs. Swimmers adopted a prone position on a swim bench and placed their feet in the stirrups of the leg-kicking ergometer. The distance between the swim bench and the leg-kicking ergometer was adjusted according to the swimmer’s stature to allow the swimmers to flex their knees to 45º during leg kicking. Immediately prior to and after the swimming training program, all swimmers performed an incremental leg-kicking exercise test to volitional exhaustion on the leg-kicking ergometer. The leg-kicking test was performed in the same week as the swimming time trials and after one full day of rest following the time trials. Maximal kick velocity (MKV) was set constant at 2.66 m·s⁻¹ to allow optimal kicking rate at lower and higher resistance settings [32]. Swimmers were allowed a freely chosen warm up before they started the leg-kicking exercise test. To allow collection of expired gases and determination of oxygen uptake at 60 W and at exhaustion, swimmers were fitted with a mouthpiece connected to on-line gas analysis equipment. Swimmers began leg-kicking at different intensities of exercise depending on age. Male swimmers over 18 years began leg-kicking at 30 W, whereas younger males (16-17 years) began leg-kicking at 20 W. The intensity of exercise was dictated by a computer program [21] and was set to increase by 7.5 W min⁻¹ (Slow Ramp Test). The leg-kicking exercise test was designed to elicit maximum responses in approximately 15 minutes and so minimise local muscle fatigue. The test ended when swimmers failed to maintain exercise intensity within ± 20 W of the target power output as dictated by the computer program.
GAS ANALYSIS

Expired gases were analysed for their oxygen and carbon dioxide output using on-line gas analysis equipment (COVOX Microlab, Exeter, UK). This equipment comprised oxygen and infra-red carbon dioxide analysers and a pneumotachograph (Servomex Ltd., Sussex, UK). Calibration of the gas analyser was performed immediately prior to and after every testing session using nitrogen and a gas of known concentration (4.95% CO₂, 15% O₂ + 21.5% O₂; BOC Gases Ltd., Surrey, UK). The reason for using two calibration gases for O₂ was to span the operating range. The aim of this method was to perform calibration above and below the expected O₂ values. Expired gases were mixed in a 3-litre mixing chamber and were sampled at 15-s intervals. Oxygen uptake values were recorded every 30 s (as the mean of two 15 s values). During the leg-kicking exercise test, submaximal oxygen uptake was recorded at the end of the minute while exercising at 60 W (V·O₂-60) and peak oxygen uptake (V·O₂peak) was recorded at exhaustion. To ascertain the reproducibility of gas analysis readings, six participants performed the leg-kicking test on two separate occasions spaced two days apart. Pearson’s moment product correlation was performed on the two sets of data and the calculated R-value was 0.96 (mean ± SEM: 2.93 ± 0.65).

DETERMINATION OF VENTILATORY THRESHOLD (VT)

The method used for determination of VT was based on that suggested by Fukuba et al. [33]. The VT was taken to be the point at which there was a sudden systematic increase in the ventilatory equivalent for O₂ (VE/VO₂) and was determined during incremental leg-kicking exercise before and after the six-week legs-only swimming training program. The values for VE/VO₂ were plotted against exercise intensity (W). To determine the exercise intensity that corresponded to the VT (VTw), the graphical technique proposed by Swaine [34] was employed. This technique involved fitting two straight lines on the VE/VO₂ data (one before and one after the VT breakpoint) indicating a ‘V-slope’. The point at which these two lines intersected was taken as the exact point of VT. The exercise intensity that coincided with the VT was interpolated from this point [35]. An example of this technique is shown in Figure 1. The reproducibility of VTw was assessed using the gas analysis data of six swimmers (as described in the previous section) and was shown to be 0.92.

Plate 1. The Leg-Kicking Exercise Test Showing the Leg-Kicking Ergometer, the Swim Bench Transducer Unit Connection and the On-Line Gas Analysis System.
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Following assessments of normality of data, homogeneity of variance and sphericity, as appropriate, a mixed-design factorial Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to assess the differences in 200 m leg-kicking (200legs) and 400 m full-stroke (400full) freestyle swimming performance and submaximal oxygen uptake at 60 W (V·O₂-60), peak oxygen uptake (V·O₂peak) and exercise intensity at ventilatory threshold (VTW) between E and C swimmers. Repeated measures ANOVA was employed to assess the differences between the pre- and post-training values for 200legs, 400full, V·O₂-60, V·O₂peak and VTW in E and C swimmers. Significance levels were set at p ≤ 0.05. Values are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD).

Table 1. Dry-Land Leg-Kicking Exercise Values for Peak Oxygen Uptake (V·O₂peak) and Time in the 400 m Full-Stroke Freestyle Swimming Time Trial (400full) Before and After the Six-Week Freestyle Leg-Kicking Swimming Training Program in Experimental (E; n=8) and Control Group (C; n=7) Swimmers. Values are mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>Post-training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V·O₂peak (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.40</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400full (s)</td>
<td>309 ± 25.0</td>
<td>307 ± 20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS
PRE-TRAINING RESULTS
Before the training program, no differences were identified between the E and C swimmers in the swimming time trials of 200legs (p = 0.054) and 400full (p = 0.081) and the dry-land leg-kicking exercise measurements of V·O₂peak (p = 0.002), V·O₂-60 (p = 0.053) and VTW (p = 0.072).
POST-TRAINING INTRA-GROUP RESULTS
After the training program, there were no differences between the pre- and post-training values for 200legs, 400full, VO₂peak, VO₂-60 and VTw in C swimmers (p > 0.05), whereas there were no differences for 400full (p = 0.072) and VO₂peak (p = 0.064) in E swimmers.

POST-TRAINING INTER-GROUP RESULTS
Differences were identified between the pre- and post-training values of 200 legs (-6.0 ± 2%; p = 0.044), VO₂-60 (-20.4 ± 3%; p = 0.035) and VTw (28.0 ± 5.0%; p = 0.023) in E swimmers. Pre- and post-training values for 400 full and VO₂peak in E and C swimmers are shown in Table 1. Pre- and post-training values for 200 legs, VO₂-60 and VTw are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

DISCUSSION
One of the key findings in this study was that normal leg-kicking swimming training (i.e., 20% of the weekly training distance) performed three times per week for six weeks improves leg muscle endurance and movement economy, but does not improve aerobic power during the dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test or middle-distance swimming performance. These changes were only observed in the experimental group swimmers who undertook the leg-kicking swimming training, whereas there were no changes in leg metabolism of the control group swimmers. Previous studies that have used leg cycling [23, 24] and triathlon training [36] have shown similar training effects where cardiovascular, metabolic and neuromuscular adaptations occurred in the trained segments. In swimming, there have not been any published investigations of the adaptations that occur in leg muscle metabolism of swimmers following leg swimming training with which to compare our data. However, it appears that this type of leg swimming training is sufficient to elicit adaptations in leg muscle metabolism of competitive swimmers.
The improvements in leg muscle endurance following leg-kicking swimming training were reflected by a decrease in 200 m leg-kicking swimming times. However, there are no published investigations that have assessed the effects of leg-kicking swimming training on leg muscle endurance in swimmers. Movement economy also improved following the leg-kicking swimming training and this was reflected by a decrease in oxygen uptake at 60 W during the dry-land leg-kicking exercise test. Even though the swimmers did not perform leg-kicking training on the dry-land ergometer, the marked reduction in submaximal oxygen uptake at 60 W (-20.4 ± 3.0%) that was observed in the experimental group following leg-kicking swimming training suggests that dry-land ergometry can successfully engage the muscle groups activated during freestyle leg-kicking. This finding reinforces previous findings where changes in dry-land arm-pulling economy were demonstrated following swimming training [22, 32].

The freestyle leg-kicking swimming training used in this study did not improve aerobic power during the dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test in either the experimental or the control group swimmers. Improvements in leg aerobic power have been observed following cycling training [37, 38]. However, these studies used untrained participants and thus the changes that were noted in aerobic power measured following cycling training could be attributed to the participants’ low initial state of training. Swimming studies that have assessed the effects of arm swimming training on aerobic power measured during full-stroke swimming noted improvements following training [13, 15], but these studies also used untrained participants. In our study we used trained swimmers who might have reached their maximum aerobic potential due to competitive swimming training and thus required a higher percentage of legs-only swimming training (< 20%) or training of longer duration (than six weeks) to bring about significant improvements in aerobic power during the dry-land, leg-kicking exercise test.

Figure 3. Oxygen Uptake at 60 W (VO₂-60; L·min⁻¹) in the Experimental and Control Groups Before and After the Six-Week Freestyle Leg-Kicking Swimming Training Program. Values are mean ± SD.

* Significant at P<0.05.
Another notable result in this study was the increase in exercise intensity at which ventilatory threshold was reached during dry-land, leg-kicking exercise following leg-kicking swimming training (+28.0±5.0%). Again, this improvement only occurred in the experimental group swimmers. This finding compares favourably with those of previous studies that have used running [39] and leg cycling training [28, 29] and found similar improvements in the power output at which the ventilatory threshold was reached following training. There have not been any training studies that have investigated the changes in VTW following leg-kicking swimming training with which to compare our data. However, one study that used swimmers and investigated the VTW during arm-pulling exercise showed that VT was achieved at 100 ± 20 W [34]. In this study, VTW during leg-kicking exercise was achieved at 62 ± 8 W and 75 ± 6 W for the control and experimental group, respectively (both values are post-training). The lower exercise intensities at which VT was achieved in this study suggest that the VTW of competitive swimmers during dry-land leg-kicking exercise is considerably lower compared to VTW during arm-pulling exercise. These differences lend support to the notion that arm pulling is a more efficient action compared to leg kicking during freestyle swimming [7, 8]. However, it might also be the case that leg-kicking swimming training had been de-emphasised in favour of whole-body or arm-pulling swimming training.

There were no improvements in 400 m freestyle swimming performance following freestyle leg-kicking swimming training in either the experimental or the control groups in this study. Previous studies have shown that increasing arm power through swimming training improves full-stroke sprint swimming performance [16-19]. However, there have not been any published investigations of the effects of leg-kicking swimming training on
middle-distance swimming performance. Furthermore, the type of training used in this study was designed to increase leg endurance rather than leg power; hence, the marked changes in 200 m legs-only swimming time, dry-land movement economy and dry-land exercise intensity at ventilatory threshold. It would be interesting to explore whether a different type of legs-only training (designed to improve leg power through swimming training) might have an effect in improving full-stroke middle-distance swimming performance.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
The findings of this study demonstrate that six weeks of normal freestyle leg-kicking swimming training (20% of the weekly training distance) can enhance movement economy and can improve leg endurance. It appears that normal leg-kicking training is effective in inducing training adaptations in the localised metabolism of the legs of competitive swimmers. Such findings indicate that normal leg-kicking training should form an essential part of the training regimen, as it improves leg conditioning. Swimming coaches could use this knowledge to design swimming training programs so that it incorporates the normal amount of leg-kicking training. In addition, these findings could be of interest to sports scientists who wish to conduct in-depth investigations of the effects of leg swimming training on leg muscle metabolism of swimmers. Moreover, the findings of this study indicate that normal freestyle leg-kicking swimming training does not affect full-stroke middle-distance swimming performance. It would be interesting to ascertain whether a combined arm-pulling and leg-kicking swimming training program set at 20% of the weekly training distance for each component would have an effect on middle-distance swimming performance.

Dry-land leg-kicking exercise seems to successfully engage the musculature involved in freestyle leg-kicking and can be used alongside water-based assessments to monitor the improvements that occur in leg metabolism of swimmers in response to leg-kicking swimming training. This type of dry-land ergometry could be used in conjunction with water-based training as an alternative form of land-based training to supplement or substitute traditional weight training. Coaches could use these methods with their swimmers to investigate their effectiveness on improving swimming performance.
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